

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of)	MAIL STOP AMENDMENT
)	
Jean-Marie Bernard et al.)	Group Art Unit: 1714
)	
Application No.: 10/579,808)	Examiner: Patrick Dennis Niland
)	
Filed: May 16, 2006)	Confirmation No.: 5954
)	
For: SYNTHESIS OF BIURETS AND)	
ISOCYANATES WITH)	
ALKOXY-SILANE FUNCTIONS,)	
FORMULATIONS CONTAINING)	
SAME AND USE THEREOF)	

STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

As requested in the Interview Summary of July 18, 2008 by Examiner Niland, applicants' summary of the interview is provided below. A summary of the Interview was also provided in Reply and Amendment Accompanying Request for Continued Examination (RCE) Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §1.114 submitted on July 21, 2008.

On Thursday, July 17, 2008, the undersigned conducted a telephonic interview with Examiner Niland. Examiner Niland was very helpful in furthering the prosecution of this application. Applicants requested clarification on the new matter and 35 U.S.C. §112 second paragraph rejections in paragraphs 2A and 3A of the Final Office Action. Applicants requested clarification as to whether the rejections were based on the language "with the proviso that:." Examiner Niland indicated that he did not appear to have a problem with this language per se.


Examiner Niland indicated that the newly added phrase " the amine functional group inserted in the at least one biuret is bonded to at least one aminoalkoxysilne" was the problem because the claim appears to indicate that an amine group in the biuret is bonded to the aminoalkoxysilane such that there are two amino group bonded to each other. Examiner Niland suggested possible alternative language , such as "the amine functional group inserted in the at least one biuret is that of the at least one aminoalkoxy silane unit". The Examiner also indicated that similar language may be acceptable. Examiner Niland agreed with applicants representative that this language appears to be consistent with the teachings on page 15 of the specification and various portions of the specification that relate to the teachings on page 15.

Applicants representative indicated that amended claims having language similar to that discussed would be included in a Request for Continued Examination which was being filed

Respectfully submitted,

BUCHANAN INGERSOLL & ROONEY PC

Date: August 15, 2008

By: 
Gary D. Mangels, Ph.D.
Registration No. 55,424

P.O. Box 1404
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1404
(703) 836-6620